

UNITED STATES DEARRIMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

		7/A/TES 01	vvasningto	on, D.C. 20231	Bo	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED	INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/640,526	08/17/00	NICOLSON		P	CL/V-20676F	
	,		一		EXAMINER	
001095 THOMAS HOXI	· F	IM52/0706		MICHL	"F	
NOVARTIS CO				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PATENT AND 564 MORRIS	TRADEMARK D AVENUE	EPT		1714	5-	
SUMMIT NJ (07901-1027			DATE MAILED:	D : 07/06/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Group Art Unit					
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet i	peneath the co	orrespondence a	idress				
Period for Response								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE THI	REEMONTI	H(S) FROM THE					
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by defaul Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response will, by 	response within the statul lt, expire SIX (6) MONTH:	ory minimum of the	nirty (30) days will be	considered timely.				
Status								
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on				••				
☐ This action is FINAL .								
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except fo accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 0			the merits is clo	sed in				
Disposition of Claims								
Claim(s) 1, 159-182	is/are p	is/are pending in the application.						
Of the above claim(s)	is/are v	is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
☐ Claim(s)	is/are a	is/are allowed.						
□ Claim(s)	is/are r	is/are rejected.						
□ Claim(s)								
☐ Claim(s)	are sub	are subject to restriction or election						
Application Papers		require	ment.					
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F	Review PTO-948							
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.								
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.								
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)								
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unde □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) □ received in this national stage application from the Intern 	priority documents h	ave been	·	•				
*Certified copies not received:			·					
Attachment(s)								
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	nterview Sumn	nary, PTO-413					
Notice of References Cited, PTO-892		☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152						
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948		Other		 				
Office A	Action Summary							

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97)

Part of Paper No.

Serial No. 09/640,526
Art Unit 1714

.

In the Preliminary Amendment, applicants cancelled claims 2-158 and submitted claims 183-206. However, applicants have not submitted claims 159-182. Accordingly, claims 183-206 have been renumbered 159-182.

Claims 159-182 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112 second paragraph for failure to particularly point out and distinctly claim what applicants consider to be the invention. Claim 163 recites that the polymeric material comprises a silicone copolymer. However, the monomers recited in claim 163 do not include any silicone monomers. Therefore, the claims fail to particularly point out and distinctly claim what applicants consider to be the invention for the failure to recite any silicone monomer in the polymer.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 159-182 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lai '461 or Lai '717 or Valint or Mueller each in view of Hofer or Lin or Sugiyama or Kiguchi.

Serial No. 09/640,526
Art Unit 1714

Applicants' claims are directed to lens of a particular polymer composition which has been subjected to a surface treatment. The Lai patents, Valint, and Mueller all disclose applicants' recited lens having the required polymer material of fluorine monomers and comonomers. The difference between the claims and the Lai patents, Valint, and Mueller is that the references do not recite surface treatment. Hofer, Lin, Sugiyama and Kiguchi all disclose surface treatment of lens. See the Abstract and claim 1 of Hofer, Lin, Sugiyama, and Kiguchi. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to subject the lens of the Lai patents, Valint, or Mueller to the surface treatment of Hofer, Sugiyama, Kiguchi, or Lin. The motivation is that it would be desirable to one of ordinary skill in the art to improve the lens of the primary references by the surface treatment methods of Hofer, Lin, Sugiyama, or Kiguchi.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-10 of prior U.S. Patent No. 5,965,631. This is a double patenting rejection.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-64 of prior U.S. Patent No. 5,760,100. This is a double patenting rejection.

Serial No. 09/640,526

Art Unit 1714

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Paul Michl at telephone number (703) 308-2451.

The Examiner's supervisor is Vasu Jagannathan phone number (703) 306-2777. The fax number for this group is (703) 305-3599.

PRMichl:cdc

(703) 308-0661

July 2, 2001

PAUL R. MICHL
PATENT EXAMNINER
ART LINIT 156